

Impact of Distributed Routing of Intelligent Vehicles on Urban Traffic

Lama Alfaseeh, Shadi Djavadian, and Bilal Farooq

Laboratory of Innovations in Transportation (LiTrans)

Ryerson University

ITS Canada ACGM 2018

Niagara Falls, Ontario, June 17-20, 2018


Project Synopsis

The impact of a distributed dynamic routing system has been studied in an agent-based traffic simulation for Downtown Toronto network for:

- Different market penetration rates (MPRs) of connected autonomous vehicles (CAVs)
- Different congestion levels

Content

- Motivation
- Background
- Methodology
- Case study
- Discussion and results
- Conclusion
- Future studies
- Acknowledgement



Motivation

- Urban congestion has affected the traffic safety, air pollution, and use of energy.
- Urban congestion effects have been seen in the form of annual cost to commuters and to the economy.
- It has been shown that the higher the efficiency of a routing system, the less the congestion and the better the network performance.



Background

Distributed routing systems overcome the below shortcomings of centralized systems:

- Large capital investment
- Higher sensitivity to system failure
- Complexity of system upgrades




Background

- Hawas and Mahmassani found that distributed routing systems are more robust in the case of different traffic incidents e.g. lane blockage and for different levels and durations
- Joyung and Brian evaluated the efficiency of a route guidance strategy based on vehicle-infrastructure integration (VII). They found that the higher the MPR of equipped vehicles the better the network characteristics.

Methodology


Highly congested (demand factor=1, and 100% of CAVs are what we used to define the parameters below)



Methodology


In this Study, the E2ECAV dynamic routing system algorithm developed by Djavadian and Farooq is employed

Case Study




- Urban network of central downtown Toronto
- 223 links, 76 nodes (intersections), and 26 centroids (matched to the closest intersections)
- Morning peak hour: 7:45am - 8:00am

Discussion and Results



Throughput for Different Updating Intervals



Mean Travel Time (min) and Mean Vehicle Kilometers Travelled (km) for Different Updating Intervals

Updating Interval

IDM Set

K-Path

MPRs of CAVs and Congestion level

Discussion and Results

IDM	Safe spacing (m)	Reaction time (sec)
HDV	2	2
CAV	2	2
Reduced for CAV	1	1


Updating Interval

IDM Set


K-Path

MPRs of CAVs and Congestion level

Discussion and Results



Throughput for Different IDM Sets



Mean Travel Time (min) and Mean Vehicle Kilometers Traveled (km) for Different IDM Sets


Updating Interval

IDM Set


K-Path

MPRs of CAVs and Congestion level

Discussion and Results



Throughput for Different K-Paths



Mean Travel Time (min) and Mean Vehicle Kilometers Travelled (km) for Different K-Paths


Updating Interval

IDM Set

K-Path

MPRs of CAVs and Congestion level

Discussion and Results



Mean Travel Time and Mean Vehicle Kilometers Travelled (VKT) for Different MPRs of CAVs and Traffic Conditions


Updating Interval

IDM Set


K-Path

MPRs of CAVs and Congestion level


Discussion and Results



Throughput for Different MPRs of CAVs for the Highly Congested Traffic Condition



Throughput for Different MPRs of CAVs for the Congested Traffic Condition



Throughput for Different MPRs of CAVs for the Uncongested Traffic Condition


Updating Interval

IDM Set

K-Path

MPRs of CAVs and Congestion level

Discussion and Results



Flow for Different MPRs of CAVs for the Highly Congested Traffic Condition and for the Most Congested Link


Updating Interval

IDM Set

K-Path

MPRs of CAVs and Congestion level

Discussion and Results



Density for Different MPRs of CAVs for the Highly Congested Traffic Condition and for the Most Congested Link




Updating Interval

IDM Set

K-Path

MPRs of CAVs and Congestion level

Discussion and Results



Fundamental Diagrams for Different MPRs of CAVs of the Highly Congested Traffic Condition and for the Most Congested link of the Network

Updating Interval

IDM Set

K-Path

MPRs of CAVs and Congestion level

Conclusion

We demonstrate the effectiveness of a distributed dynamic routing system for intelligent vehicles (CAVs) at various levels of MPRs and traffic conditions on a large scale urban network



Conclusion

It is found that:

- 60sec updating interval is the optimal updating interval.
- Reduced IDM implementation is slightly better than the regular IDM set.
- The higher the MPR of CAVs, the better the traffic network characteristics.
- An increase in the average speed of as high as 50km/h when employing 100% CAVs for the most congested link for the highly congested case.

Conclusion

- 4% increase of the mean vehicle kilometers travelled when employing 100% CAVs.
- The impact of higher MPRs of CAVs is profound in the case of congested and highly congested traffic conditions.
- 88% reduction in density when 100% CAVs is employed compared to 5% CAVs.
- 18% reduction in mean travel time when employing 50%, 70%, or 100% CAVs for the highly congested traffic condition.
- Fundamental diagrams of the most congested link illustrate substantial improvements

Future Studies

- We argue more emphasis on the effect of CAVs and on setting strategies that prevent looping and rerouting for more efficient algorithms that can be employed in the case of distributed routing systems.
- More replications of every scenario would give more consistent results and show the trend more accurately.
- Micro and Macro fundamental diagrams would definitely reflect the impact of the suggested E2E dynamic routing system

Acknowledgement



MINISTRY OF RESEARCH AND INNOVATION
MINISTÈRE DE LA RECHERCHE ET DE L'INNOVATION

